

EXHIBIT A

STATE OF VERMONT
SUPERIOR COURT
WINDHAM UNIT
CIVIL DIVISION
DOCKET NO.

MURRAY S. KRUGMAN and
BARBARA S. JENTIS

Plaintiffs

v.

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.
and
VOLKSWAGEN AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT

Defendants

SUMMONS

THIS SUMMONS IS DIRECTED TO: Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.

1. **YOU ARE BEING SUED.** The Plaintiff has started a lawsuit against you. The Plaintiff's Complaint against you is attached to this summons. Do not throw these papers away. They are official papers that affect your rights.
2. **YOU MUST REPLY WITHIN 20 DAYS TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.** You must give or mail the Plaintiff a written response called an Answer within 20 days of the date on which you receive this Summons. You must send a copy of your Answer to the Plaintiff/Plaintiff's Attorney located at: David N. Dunn, Esq., Phillips, Dunn, Shriver & Carroll, P.C., 147 Western Avenue, Brattleboro, VT 05301. You must also give or mail your Answer to the Court located at: Anne Damone, Clerk, Vermont Superior Court – Windham Unit, P.O. Box 207, Newfane, VT 05345.
3. **YOU MUST RESPOND TO EACH CLAIM.** The Answer is your written response to the Plaintiff's Complaint. In your Answer you must state whether you agree or disagree with each paragraph of the Complaint. If you believe the Plaintiff should not be given everything asked for in the Complaint, you must say so in your Answer.
4. **YOU WILL LOSE YOUR CASE IF YOU DO NOT GIVE YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER TO THE COURT.** If you do not Answer within 20 days and file it with the Court, you will lose this case. You will not get to tell your side of the story, and the Court may decide against you and award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the Complaint.
5. **YOU MUST MAKE ANY CLAIMS AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF IN YOUR REPLY.** Your Answer must state any related legal claims you have against the Plaintiff. Your claims against the Plaintiff are called Counterclaims. If you do not

make your Counterclaims in writing in your Answer, you may not be able to bring them up at all. Even if you have insurance and the insurance company will defend you, you must still file any Counterclaims you may have.

6. **LEGAL ASSISTANCE.** You may wish to get legal help from a lawyer. If you cannot afford a lawyer, you should ask the court clerk for information about places where you can get free legal help. Even if you cannot get legal help, you must still give the Court a written Answer to protect your rights or you may lose the case.

Dated 10/30/15

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dunn', is written over a horizontal line.

David N. Dunn, Esq.
ddunn@pdsclaw.com
Plaintiff's Attorney

SUPERIOR COURT
WINDHAM UNIT

STATE OF VERMONT

CIVIL DIVISION
DOCKET NO.

MURRAY S. KRUGMAN and
BARBARA S. JENTIS

Plaintiffs

v.

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.
and
VOLKSWAGEN AKTIENGESELLSHAFT

Defendants

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Murray S. Krugman, by and through his attorneys, Phillips, Dunn, Shriver & Carroll, P.C., and hereby alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff Murray S. Krugman is an individual residing in Westminster, Windham County, Vermont.
2. Plaintiff Barbara S. Jentis is an individual residing in Westminster, Windham County, Vermont, and is married to Mr. Krugman.
3. Defendant Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. ("VGOA") is a New Jersey corporation with its headquarters in Herndon, Virginia. On information and belief, it is a subsidiary of defendant Volkswagen Aktiengesellschaft.
4. Defendant Volkswagen Aktiengesellschaft ("VAG") is a German corporation with its headquarters in Wolfsburg, Germany.

5. VAG designs and manufactures motor vehicles, including but not limited to passenger vehicles bearing the general name "Volkswagen." Vehicles bearing the Volkswagen brand are sold throughout the United States.
6. VAG manufactures Volkswagen vehicles in the United States, and regularly advertises those vehicles for sale throughout the United States, with the intent to market to customers throughout the United States, including but not limited to potential customers in Vermont.
7. VGOA manufactures Volkswagen vehicles in the United States, and regularly advertises those vehicles for sale throughout the United States, with the intent to market to customers throughout the United States, including but not limited to potential customers in Vermont.
8. VGOA is registered to do business in the State of Vermont and does business in the State of Vermont.
9. Defendant VGOA maintains a website that directs potential consumers, including Vermont residents, to different dealers both within and outside the State of Vermont as potential sources for purchasing Volkswagen vehicles. That website includes designating dealerships outside the State of Vermont as potential dealers where a Vermont resident could purchase a Volkswagen vehicle.
10. On August 8, 2012, Mr. Krugman purchased a new Volkswagen diesel automobile, Vehicle Identification Number WDBNG73J720300113 (the "2012 Passat") in Connecticut.
11. The 2012 Passat was designed by either VAG or VGOA.
12. The 2012 Passat was manufactured by either VAG or VGOA.

13. The 2012 Passat was advertised for sale with mileage and fuel economy estimates prepared and/or approved by VGOA and VAG.
14. Mr. Krugman purchased the 2012 Passat in reliance on the truth and veracity of the mileage and fuel economy estimates prepared and/or approved by VGOA and VAG.
15. The mileage and fuel economy estimates for the 2012 Passat (and all other vehicles) are required by government regulations, and must be prepared and made consistent with government regulated and established emissions standards.
16. When Mr. Krugman relied on the mileage and fuel economy estimates for the 2012 Passat, he did so in reliance that they were prepared, made, and published consistent with the government regulated and established emissions standards.
17. Mr. Krugman paid \$32,579.00 for the 2012 Passat.
18. On May 26, 2015, Mr. Krugman purchased a new Volkswagen diesel automobile, Vehicle Identification Number 1VWCV7A35FC021124 (the "2015 Passat") in Connecticut.
19. The 2015 Passat was designed by VAG.
20. The 2015 Passat was manufactured by either VAG or VGOA.
21. The 2015 Passat was advertised for sale with mileage and fuel economy estimates prepared and/or approved by VGOA and VAG.
22. Mr. Krugman purchased the 2015 Passat in reliance on the truth and veracity of the mileage and fuel economy estimates prepared and/or approved by VGOA and VAG.
23. The mileage and fuel economy estimates for the 2015 Passat (and all other vehicles) are required by government regulations, and must be prepared and made consistent with government regulated and established emissions standards.

24. When Mr. Krugman relied on the mileage and fuel economy estimates for the 2015 Passat, he did so in reliance that they were prepared, made, and published consistent with the government regulated and established emissions standards.

25. Mr. Krugman paid \$32,135. 00 for the 2015 Passat.

26. Defendant VAG knowingly and purposely included software and/or hardware in the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat that allowed the vehicle to detect when emissions testing was occurring, and make internal changes to the fuel and air mix so that the cars would seem to pass emissions testing. During the testing procedure, when the software and/or hardware was operating in its "Defeat Device" mode, the fuel efficiency of the vehicles was reduced. By including this software and/or hardware (which reverted to ordinary fuel and air mix when emissions were not being tested), the vehicles appeared to meet the published mileage and fuel economy standards when operated by consumers, but during such operations the vehicles were violating emissions standards.

27. VAG and VGOA repeatedly advertised and promoted its diesel vehicles containing the Defeat Device as low emission and high efficiency products.

28. VAG and VGOA knew that vehicles with the Defeat Device installed could only reach the advertised fuel efficiency standards if the vehicles had emissions in excess of those permitted by federal law and regulations.

29. VAG designed and installed the Defeat Device to avoid compliance with federal regulations.

30. By designing and installing the Defeat Device, VAG knew that it was offering vehicles for sale that would be operated by consumers at emission levels that were not permitted by federal law and regulation.
31. The emission standards established by federal law and regulation were and are designed to protect the health and safety of consumers.
32. On information and belief, VGOA knew of the Defeat Device installation and purpose.
33. VAG has admitted that it was improper and illegal to install the Defeat Device in vehicles for sale to customers in the United States.
34. VAG has admitted that it knowingly and purposely deceived customers.
35. VGOA has admitted that it knowingly and purposely deceived customers.
36. VGOA has admitted that it was improper and illegal to install the Defeat Device in vehicles for sale to customers in the United States.
37. Mr. Krugman would not have purchased either the 2012 Passat or the 2015 Passat if he had known about the Defeat Device.
38. Mr. Krugman would not have purchased either the 2012 Passat or the 2015 Passat if the correct mileage and fuel economy estimates had been published.
39. Mr. Krugman would not have purchased either the 2012 Passat or the 2015 Passat if he had known that the vehicles would be operated in violation of emission standards.
40. The value of the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat have been greatly reduced as a result of the actions of VAG and VGOA.
41. As a result of driving and being a passenger in the 2012 Passat and 2015 Passat, Mr. Krugman suffered adverse health issues attributable to poor air quality.

42. During the period when she was driving and being a passenger in the 2012 Passat and 2015 Passat, Ms. Jentis developed breast cancer, which she believes was caused by the poor air quality from the emissions of the vehicles.

COUNT ONE

VERMONT CONSUMER FRAUD ACT
VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.

43. Plaintiffs repeat the allegation in all preceding paragraphs.

44. The Vermont Consumer Fraud Act provides that a consumer who contracts for goods in reliance on fraudulent representations or unfair trade practices and sustains damages or injury may sue and recover from the seller or other violator equitable relief or damages or the consideration paid, plus attorneys' fees, and exemplary damages not exceeding three times the consideration paid.

45. The inclusion of the Defeat Device was an unfair trade practice in violation of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act.

46. The misrepresentation of fuel economy and mileage estimates was a fraudulent representation and an unfair trade practice in violation of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act.

47. Defendant VGOA actively participated as a seller or other violator in the above referenced violations of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act.

48. The above referenced violations of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act resulted in damage and injury to Plaintiffs.

49. As a result of VGOA's violation of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act, Plaintiffs seek appropriate remedies from VGOA as provided in the act, including but not limited to their attorneys' fees, reserving their rights to seek either appropriate equitable relief

(in the form of rescission of the sale of the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat and return of their full purchase price in exchange for returning those vehicles), payment and reimbursement of the consideration paid by Plaintiffs, and/or exemplary damages of three times the original consideration paid.

COUNT TWO

VERMONT CONSUMER FRAUD ACT
VOLKSWAGEN AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT

50. Plaintiffs repeat the allegation in all preceding paragraphs.
51. The Vermont Consumer Fraud Act provides that a consumer who contracts for goods in reliance on fraudulent representations or unfair trade practices and sustains damages or injury may sue and recover from the seller or other violator equitable relief or damages or the consideration paid, plus attorneys' fees, and exemplary damages not exceeding three times the consideration paid.
52. The inclusion of the Defeat Device was an unfair trade practice in violation of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act.
53. The misrepresentation of fuel economy and mileage estimates was a fraudulent representation and an unfair trade practice in violation of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act.
54. Defendant VAG designed and installed the "defeat device," knowing that it would result in a misrepresentation to consumers about both mileage and emissions.
55. Defendant VAG actively participated as a seller or other violator in the above referenced violations of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act.
56. The above referenced violations of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act resulted in damage and injury to Plaintiffs.

57. As a result of VAG's violation of the Vermont Consumer Fraud Act, Plaintiffs seek appropriate remedies from VAG as provided in the act, including but not limited to their attorneys' fees, reserving their rights to seek either appropriate equitable relief (in the form of rescission of the sale of the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat and return of their full purchase price in exchange for returning those vehicles), payment and reimbursement of the consideration paid by Plaintiffs, and/or exemplary damages of three times the original consideration paid.

COUNT THREE

FRAUD

58. Plaintiffs repeat the allegation in all preceding paragraphs.

59. VAG and VGOA intentionally misrepresented the fuel efficiency and emission information about the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat.

60. It was reasonable for Mr. Krugman to rely on the fuel efficiency and emission information advertised by VAG and VGOA for the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat and considered that information as correct.

61. Mr. Krugman would not have purchased either the 2012 Passat or the 2015 Passat if he had known that the fuel efficiency as advertised by VAG and VGOA was incorrect.

62. Mr. Krugman would not have purchased either the 2012 Passat or the 2015 Passat if he had known that the emission information advertised by VAG and VGOA was incorrect.

63. By incorrectly advertising the fuel efficiency and emission information of the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat, VAG and VGOA defrauded Mr. Krugman.

64. As a result of these actions by VAG and VGOA, the plaintiffs have suffered damages for which VAG and VGOA are liable.

COUNT FOUR

BREACH OF WARRANTY

65. Plaintiffs repeat the allegation in all preceding paragraphs.

66. The fuel efficiency and emission information advertised for the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat constituted warranties to all consumers, including Mr. Krugman.

67. VAG and VGOA breached those warranties for the 2012 Passat and the 2015 Passat.

68. As a result of these actions by VAG and VGOA, the plaintiffs have suffered damages for which VAG and VGOA are liable.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs demands judgment against the Defendants as set forth above.

Plaintiff requests a jury trial.

Dated at Brattleboro, Vermont, this 30th day of October, 2015.

MURRAY S. KRUGMAN and
BARBARA S. JENTIS,
By their attorneys,



David N. Dunn
Phillips, Dunn, Shriver & Carroll, P.C.
147 Western Ave
Brattleboro, VT 05301
(802) 257-7244 ext. 112
(802) 257-7256 (fax)
ddunn@pdsclaw.com